

Perspective

Research priorities for the conservation of chondrichthyans in Latin America



Edgar E. Becerril-García^{a,*}, Randall Arauz^{b,c}, Marcial Arellano-Martínez^a, Ramón Bonfil^{d,e}, Arturo Ayala-Bocos^f, José L. Castillo-Géniz^g, Maribel Carrera-Fernández^h, Patricia Charvetⁱ, Gustavo Chiaramonte^j, Andrés M. Cisneros-Montemayor^k, Francisco Concha^l, Mario Espinoza^{m,n}, Nicolás R. Ehemann^{a,o,p}, Colombo Estupiñán-Montaño^{a,q}, Karen Fuentes^r, Felipe Galván-Magaña^a, Rachel Graham^s, Ana Hacoheh-Domené^t, Fabio Hazin^u, Sebastián Hernández^{v,w}, Edgar M. Hoyos-Padilla^{x,y}, James T. Ketchum^{x,z}, Irene Kingma^{aa}, Oscar Méndez^{ab}, María C. Oddone^{ac}, Juan C. Pérez-Jiménez^{ad}, D. Petatán-Ramírez^{z,ae}, Carlos Polo-Silva^{af,ag}, Bianca Rangel^{ah}, P. Salinas-De-León^{ai,aj}, Omar Santana-Morales^{ak,al}, Ilena Zanella^{am}, Ximena Vélez-Zuazo^{an,ao}, Céline A.G. Godard-Codding^{ap}

^a Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Centro Interdisciplinario de Ciencias Marinas, La Paz, Mexico

^b Programa Restauración de Tortugas Marinas, San José, Costa Rica

^c MigraMar, Forest Knolls, United States of America

^d El Colegio de la Frontera Sur-Unidad Chetumal, Chetumal, Quintana Roo, México

^e Océanos Vivientes A. C., Mexico City, Mexico

^f Ecosistemas y Conservación: Proazul Terrestre A. C., La Paz, Mexico

^g Programa Tiburones y Rayas de Baja California, Centro Regional de Investigación Acuícola y Pesquera de Ensenada, Instituto Nacional de la Pesca y Acuicultura, Ensenada, Mexico

^h Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí, Manta, Ecuador

ⁱ Universidade Federal do Paraná - UFPR - Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia Ambiental, Curitiba, Brazil

^j Estación Hidrobiológica de Puerto Quequén, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" MACN-CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

^k Nippon Foundation Ocean Nexus Program, School of Resource and Environmental Management, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

^l Laboratorio de Biología y Conservación de Condrictios, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar y de Recursos Naturales, Universidad de Valparaíso, Viña del Mar, Chile

^m Centro de Investigación en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad de Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica

ⁿ Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica

^o Universidad de Oriente, Escuela de Ciencias Aplicadas del Mar Núcleo de Nueva Esparta, Venezuela

^p Proyecto Iniciativa Batoideos (PROVITA), Caracas, Venezuela

^q Fundación Alium Pacific, Cali, Colombia

^r Manta México Caribe A.C., Isla Mujeres, Mexico

^s MarAlliance, Ciudad de Saber, Panama City, Panama

^t Biology Department, Universidad del Valle de Guatemala, Guatemala City, Guatemala

^u Laboratório de Oceanografia Pesqueira, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil

^v Biomolecular Lab, Center for International Programs, Veritas University, San José, Costa Rica

^w Sala de Colecciones Biológicas, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Católica del Norte, Coquimbo, Chile

^x Pelagios Kakunjá A.C., La Paz, Mexico

^y Fins Attached Marine Research and Conservation, CO, United States of America

^z Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR), La Paz, B.C.S., Mexico

^{aa} Dutch Elasmobranch Society, Amsterdam, Netherlands

^{ab} Laboratorio de Hidrobiología, Facultad de Biología, Universidad Veracruzana, Xalapa, Mexico

^{ac} Setor de Morfologia, Pesquisa em Chondrichthyes, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Rio Grande, Brazil

^{ad} Laboratorio de Ecología Pesquera, El Colegio de la Frontera Sur (ECOSUR), Campeche, Mexico

^{ae} Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur, La Paz, Mexico

^{af} Facultad de Ciencias Naturales e Ingeniería, Universidad de Bogotá Jorge Tadeo Lozano, Santa Marta, Colombia

^{ag} Coastal Marine Education and Research Academy, Clearwater, FL, United States of America

^{ah} Laboratório de Metabolismo e Reprodução de Organismos Aquáticos, Departamento de Fisiologia da Instituto de Biociências da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

^{ai} Charles Darwin Foundation, Charles Darwin Research Station, Puerto Ayora, Ecuador

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: bg.ragde@gmail.com (E.E. Becerril-García).

^{aj} Save Our Seas Foundation Shark Research Center and Guy Harvey Research Institute, Nova Southeastern University, 8000 North Ocean Drive, Dania Beach, Florida, USA 33004

^{ak} Fundación Mundo Azul, Ciudad de Guatemala, Guatemala

^{al} Facultad de Ciencias Marinas, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Ensenada, Mexico

^{am} Asociación Conservacionista Misión Tiburón, Playas del Coco, Guanacaste, Costa Rica

^{an} Asociación Peruana para la Conservación de la Naturaleza, Lima, Peru

^{ao} National Zoological Park, Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, Washington, United States of America

^{ap} The Institute of Environmental and Human Health, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, United States of America

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Threatened species
Cartilaginous fish
Scientific research
Management
Aquatic policy

ABSTRACT

Latin American countries have a high diversity of sharks, rays, and chimaeras, yet many species are at high risk of extinction due to numerous threats. The conservation of chondrichthyans is key to achieving healthy and productive aquatic ecosystems, and countries in Latin America are increasingly recognizing the need for aligning conservation strategies across jurisdictions with similar ecological and socioeconomic challenges. Reflecting on the current state of knowledge and providing consensus expert opinion on research priorities are critical steps to ensure sound management and conservation strategies for chondrichthyans. The present study is a multinational collaboration by leading researchers in Latin America to identify the top-ten research priorities for the conservation of chondrichthyans within this region. Our results were highlighted from a total of 20 broader relevant topics including taxonomy and biology, ecological function, climate change and other stressors, contribution to local economies, and international collaboration. This constitutes the first comprehensive academic perspective on research priorities for chondrichthyans in Latin America which considers the varied perceptions and perspectives related to the management of sharks, rays, and chimaeras across the region and beyond. The main conservation implications highlighted by our study relate to the urgent need to implement, evaluate and/or improve management regulations based on scientific evidence and interdisciplinary research, especially in areas with little progress on the subject and/or where species threatened by extinction are distributed.

1. Introduction

Latin America is a highly diverse region both culturally and ecologically, though there are important shared histories and ecosystems across its nations. The combination of different climates in a significant latitudinal gradient, bathymetry, oceanographic conditions, and biodiversity creates diverse and unique aquatic environments that play a critical role in resource use, management, and conservation (Salas et al., 2007; Carrillo-Briceño et al., 2018). The region's aquatic biodiversity is also evident in its chondrichthyan species richness (Last et al., 2016; Weigmann, 2016), with over 400 known species from both marine and freshwater environments (Rosa and Gadig, 2014; Last et al., 2016; Weigmann, 2016; Carrillo-Briceño et al., 2018; Ehemann et al., 2018, 2019; Espinoza et al., 2018; Vooren and Oddone, 2019). These species have cultural, ecological, social, and economic relevance given their role in ecosystems functioning and as key resources for fisheries, tourism, science, and other activities specific to each country (Vannuccini, 1999; Awruch et al., 2019; Galván-Magaña et al., 2019; Villafaña et al., 2019; Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2013, 2020).

During the last decades, we have witnessed an increase in the number of chondrichthyan studies undertaken in Latin American countries, as reflected by the surge of scientific publications produced in the region (Awruch et al., 2019). Other advances on chondrichthyan conservation are related to the creation and expansion of marine protected areas at insular locations such as the Galapagos Islands (Ecuador; Hearn et al., 2016; Salinas-de-León et al., 2016), Cocos Island (Costa Rica; Friedlander et al., 2012), Malpelo Island (Colombia; Bessudo et al., 2021), and Revillagigedo Archipelago (Mexico; Aldana-Moreno et al., 2020, Becerril-García et al., 2020, Klimley et al., 2022), among others. However, there are still deficiencies in scientific research, management, and conservation of this taxonomic group, with some populations being overexploited to the brink of extinction (Bonfil, 1997; Stevens et al., 2000; Vooren and Klippel, 2005; Dulvy et al., 2014, 2021; Barreto et al., 2017; Steinke et al., 2017; Bonfil et al. 2018; Larson et al., 2021; Pacoureau et al., 2021). The recovery of these populations not only depends on effective management and conservation policy based on accurate scientific evidence but also on addressing and recognizing relevant social and political perspectives (Tambutti and Gómez, 2020).

In this context, and to address the needs for relevant data highlighted by the United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021–2030), the aim of the present perspective is to identify key research questions and topics crucial to improving sustainable management and conservation of chondrichthyans in Latin America.

2. Materials and methods

This study followed a protocol similar to that of Parsons et al. (2015) and Hays et al. (2016) in soliciting the opinions of experts, particularly from specialists in Latin American chondrichthyan populations. Between 2020 and 2021, specialists were contacted based on their publication records, past or current projects, and colleagues' recommendations. Each author was asked to answer a survey and provide research topics that would improve the knowledge of chondrichthyans in the region. Questions were formulated according to the criteria suggested by Parsons et al. (2015), in which the proposed questions: 1) could have affected, due to a lack of answers, chondrichthyan conservation efforts in Latin America; 2) would significantly benefit the conservation of chondrichthyans if answered; 3) cannot be answered with "yes", "no" or "it depends"; 4) could be answered if there were adequate funding and research inputs; and 5) could be answered by life or social sciences. Contributions were compiled and grouped into 20 research topics. The authors were asked to rank their top ten research topics via an online questionnaire and to prioritize research questions within each topic using a Likert scale where 1 represented "low priority" and 5 "high priority". The highest priority question of each topic was selected based on the average of the responses. Expert information related to the activity sector (e.g., Academia, Government, NGO), countries where they have worked, years of experience working with chondrichthyans in the region, and perception regarding advances in chondrichthyan conservation were also noted. The top ten topics that emerged from the expert elicitation, along with their associated highest priority questions, were further discussed and reviewed by all authors.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Overview

The online questionnaire was answered by 32 specialists, affiliated primarily with academia (63%), non-governmental organizations (41%), or both (9%); with one respondent as a governmental specialist (3%). Sixty-six percent of the respondents were men ($n = 21$) and 34% were women ($n = 11$). The majority of chondrichthyan experts had more than 10 years of experience working in Latin America (69%), while 25% had between 5 and 10 years (25%), and a minority had less than five years (6%). Most specialists reported research experience throughout Latin America, highlighting researchers from Mexico and Central America, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Venezuela, Chile, Brazil, and Argentina (Fig. 1). According to personal perspectives in their country of work, most of the respondents indicated that scientific research on chondrichthyans had shown medium (56%) or little progress (34%) under a global context, with a lack of financial support being the main limitation for conducting research activities (72%). In contrast, a lack of governmental interest was identified as the main factor affecting chondrichthyan management and conservation (53%), for which it was considered that little (41%) or medium (44%) progress has occurred. The section below discusses the top ten research topics with their respective scientific questions, which were identified according to the specialists' votes (Fig. 2). All topics and questions generated by the specialists are provided in Table S1, reflecting a first approach to the

major concerns regarding scientific research, management, and conservation in Latin America.

3.2. Sustainable fisheries management. Which exploited populations require urgent attention to ensure conservation?

Several chondrichthyan populations are overexploited or collapsed, primarily due to increasing fishing effort and bycatch, which resulted in a substantial decrease in abundance either regionally or globally (Stevens et al., 2000; Barreto et al., 2017; Dulvy et al., 2021; Larson et al., 2021; Pacoureaux et al., 2021). In this context, sustainable fisheries management is critical for the long-term conservation of commercially exploited chondrichthyans (Roberson et al., 2020). Identifying the populations most vulnerable to overfishing is relevant for their conservation, as rigorous assessments are required to update current fisheries legislation (Dulvy et al., 2021). Evaluation of chondrichthyan stocks must be coordinated in regions that share migratory species to ensure proper management of catches and conservation actions (Bonfil, 1997; Queiroz et al., 2019; Bonaccorso et al., 2021; Klimley et al., 2022). Understanding the factors contributing to the success or failure of fishery policies currently existing in some countries can provide the information needed to assess the feasibility of implementing similar regulations elsewhere. Throughout Latin America, future management actions would benefit from engaging the participation of different sectors (fishers, scientists, entrepreneurs, local stakeholders, etc.) to ensure the community's needs within the One Health framework.




Fig. 1. Geopolitical map of Latin America and research areas studied by the surveyed specialists ($n = 32$). Colour legend shows the number of researchers interviewed per area. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 2. Synopsis of the top ten research topics and questions formulated by 32 experts in Latin American chondrichthyan. Percentages represent the proportion of experts who voted for each research topic.

3.3. Fisheries characteristics and dynamics. *What is the chondrichthyan catch composition (species, size, sex, and maturity stages) in target and non-target fisheries?*

Chondrichthyan populations are exploited by both target and non-target fisheries, which are heterogeneous given the multiple fishing gears used in coastal and oceanic Latin American waters (Bonaccorso et al., 2021). Understanding the fisheries characteristics and seasonal dynamics is essential to assessing their potential impacts on chondrichthyan populations (Galvan-Magaña et al., 1989; Bonfil, 1997; Stevens et al., 2000; Queiroz et al., 2019). Developing cost-effective approaches for assessing chondrichthyan population trends is crucial given the high rate at which some species are declining (Field et al., 2009; Queiroz et al., 2019). Therefore, monitoring fisheries dynamics (e.g., independent surveys, governmental observers, Global Fishing Watch, and vessel tracking systems), species-specific landing statistics, and biological parameters (e.g., length, weight, sexual maturity, fecundity, seasonality, etc.) in coastal and offshore sites is key to assessing population trends over time (Oliver et al., 2015). In this regard, the identification of any systemic weaknesses in catch reporting mechanisms is a priority. Current deficiencies include inconsistent and incomplete records of captured species (e.g., common names that would be different per region or comprise many species), a lack of incentives for self-reporting, and scarcity of trained personnel in some fishing locations.

3.4. Fisheries as social-ecological systems. *What are the social and ecological factors that influence target and non-target chondrichthyan fisheries?*

Fisheries are complex systems in which multiple social and ecological factors interact. Therefore, holistic methodological frameworks are required for their study, such as the one proposed by Ostrom (2009), in which fisheries are considered social-ecological systems. During the assessment of fisheries' performance, indicators should be considered based not only on the biology of the exploited species, but also on other relevant ecological and social indicators (Gutierrez et al., 2011; Adiga et al., 2015). This will contribute to the implementation, evaluation, and constant improvement of fishing regulations in each country, which must consider the needs, opportunities, and possible impacts on the communities involved. These impacts should focus on relevant issues of human well-being, including employment, education, and health. One of the main challenges faced by Latin American governments is evaluating and understanding the consumption of chondrichthyan meat, which currently represents an essential source of protein in many human communities, but also a health risk that needs to be comprehensively assessed (Dent and Clarke, 2015; Barreto et al., 2017; Rangel et al., 2021). The potential risks associated with consuming these species (e.g., those known to bioaccumulate toxic substances), especially of those not yet assessed, could significantly affect human health and ecosystem

resilience (Carrillo-Briceño et al., 2018; Tiktak et al., 2020; Consales and Marsili, 2021). Attention should thus be given to include research and risk assessment relevant to all social settings to avoid further impacts in marginalized communities (Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2018).

3.5. Life history. What are the reproductive biology, age, and growth patterns of the most heavily exploited species?

Information regarding demographic parameters such as reproduction, age, growth, and population structure is essential for a practical and effective management approach (Cooke et al., 2018). In Latin America, data on sexual maturity and fecundity have been reported for some chondrichthyan species. However, this information is largely lacking for most species or is restricted to specific countries (Bonfil, 1997; Ramírez-Amaro et al., 2013; Awruch et al., 2019; Vooren and Oddone, 2019). Furthermore, there are major gaps in age and growth parameters, critical to defining population viability modelling (Cooke et al., 2018). The development of research plans coordinated among academia, NGOs, and fisheries authorities can facilitate the systematic acquisition of samples and biological data as long as sufficient funding is granted. Investment in these projects would generate crucial knowledge for population characterization, threat identification, and ultimately resource protection (Field et al., 2009; Barreto et al., 2017; Espinoza et al., 2018; Dulvy et al., 2021). Moreover, attention should be given to the ontogenetic and sexual differences present in some species, as well as to the genetic connectivity that may hide existing patterns among the distribution of exploited stocks (Hoyos-Padilla et al., 2014; Hearn et al., 2016; Weigmann, 2016; Queiroz et al., 2019).

3.6. Spatial ecology. What is the degree of interaction between chondrichthyan distribution and human activities in Latin America?

Understanding how chondrichthyans interact with their environment is essential to determine the effect of biotic and abiotic factors on their populations. Investigating the spatial ecology (e.g., movement patterns, residency, and habitat use) of chondrichthyan species has direct application to spatial management and conservation (Bonfil, 1997; Hussey et al., 2015; Richert et al., 2015; Queiroz et al., 2019; Klimley et al., 2022). Furthermore, the study of key habitats for feeding or reproduction (e.g., aggregation sites, nursery areas) is critical for effective conservation plans in coastal and oceanic regions (Klimley and Nelson, 1984; Klimley, 1987; Klimley and Butler, 1988; Richert et al., 2015; Hoyos-Padilla et al., 2016; Estupiñán-Montaño et al., 2021a). Evaluating the interaction between the distribution of chondrichthyans and human activities such as fishing, deep-sea mining, agriculture runoff, marine tourism, and coastal development is of particular importance since these represent potential threats to the survival and habitat use of chondrichthyans (Queiroz et al., 2019; Consales and Marsili, 2021; Pacoureaux et al., 2021; Klimley et al., 2022).

3.7. Marine protected areas. What is the degree of connectivity of chondrichthyans across protected and unprotected marine areas, nationally and internationally?

The designation of marine protected areas (MPAs) has proven to be fundamental in conserving threatened taxa, including chondrichthyans (Knip et al., 2012; Salinas-de-León et al., 2016; Sala and Giakoumi, 2018; Klimley et al., 2022). MPAs play an essential role in the feeding, development, reproduction, sheltering and management of chondrichthyans (Hearn et al., 2010, 2016; Bessudo et al., 2012; Ketchum et al., 2013, 2014; Nalesso et al., 2019; Aldana-Moreno et al., 2020; González-González et al., 2021), by regulating fishing and promoting conservation through non-extractive use (Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2020; Klimley et al., 2022). However, MPAs cannot effectively fulfil their role if they are not accompanied by enforced regulations related to fishing dynamics and local or regional interests (economic, social, and

ecological; Bonfil, 1999; Sala and Giakoumi, 2018). Assuming that there is adequate support to prevent interactions with unregulated fisheries or other threats, the success of MPAs will depend on the knowledge of population's distributions and species' life-history traits (Queiroz et al., 2019; Bonaccorso et al., 2021). In addition, spatial ecology studies should contribute to MPA performance evaluation and inform interested parties on potential needs for MPA increase or alteration (Bonfil, 1999; Friedlander et al., 2012; Sala and Giakoumi, 2018; Becerril-García et al., 2020; Birkmanis et al., 2020; Estupiñán-Montaño et al., 2021a; Klimley et al., 2022).

3.8. Conservation status. How many species have experienced severe population declines or geographic range reductions?

Due to a paucity of available information, several chondrichthyan species found in Latin America do not have their conservation status accurately assessed (Kyne et al., 2012; Larson et al., 2021). These data deficiencies hamper the evaluation of population trends, traits, and distribution changes, hence affecting conservation actions (Dulvy et al., 2014; Cooke et al., 2018). Given the current situation, acquiring sufficient data to conduct regional assessments of chondrichthyan populations is urgently needed (Kyne et al., 2012; Pacoureaux et al., 2021). This would improve the regulation of frequently captured endangered species (e.g., hammerhead sharks, *Sphyrna* spp.) and promote the monitoring of threatened taxa (Dulvy et al., 2021; Rangel et al., 2021). Specifically, there is a critical need to evaluate possible reductions and other changes in the distribution of the most affected species, such as sawfishes (Pristiformes), guitarfishes (Rhinoipristiformes), and saw sharks (Pristiophoriformes), which have historically suffered serious losses along the tropical and subtropical coasts (Vooren and Klippel, 2005; Bonfil et al., 2018; Valerio-Vargas and Espinoza, 2019; López-Angarita et al., 2021). Of equal importance is the implementation of other methods such as ecological risk assessments, which in the case of poorly studied species, can incorporate knowledge gaps and are useful to understand vulnerability to a specific threat (Gallagher et al., 2012; Clarke et al., 2017). Such actions could prevent the disappearance of species and the subsequent impacts on regional marine biodiversity (Dulvy et al., 2014; Carrillo-Briceño et al., 2018).

3.9. Interdisciplinary research. What is the perception of local communities regarding the management of chondrichthyans?

The oceanographical characterization of chondrichthyan habitats, in chemical, physical, and biological terms, is essential to understand their ecology (Klimley and Butler, 1988; Klimley, 1993; Jorgensen et al., 2009, 2016; Richert et al., 2015). Along with life sciences (biology, anatomy, physiology, genetics, etc.), social sciences are crucial to understand and address the social and economic context necessary for effective chondrichthyan management and conservation (Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2013; Friedrich et al., 2014; Mason et al., 2020). Thus, managers and scientists will need to include knowledge generated by both social sciences and communities in their recommendations and initiatives to achieve sustainable management goals (Friedrich et al., 2014; Rangel et al., 2021). This is particularly important given the limited enforcement capacity of most fisheries management and conservation agencies across Latin America, and the increasing push for integration of these agencies' mandates with broader marine and sustainable development approaches (Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2021; Tambutti and Gómez, 2020).

3.10. International regulation and enforcement. What is the intensity and impact of illegal fishing and trafficking of chondrichthyans?

Chondrichthyan species, as well as their fins, meat, jaws, gills, skin, and internal organs, are legally and illegally traded for consumption or ornamental purposes (Vannuccini, 1999; Araújo et al., 2004; Rosa et al.,

2010; Dent and Clarke, 2015; Barreto et al., 2017; Steinke et al., 2017; Rangel et al., 2021). Consequently, the labelling and traceability of marketed chondrichthyan products are fundamental for effective conservation (Steinke et al., 2017; Pavitt, 2021; Rangel et al., 2021). Strengthening mechanisms for surveillance, traceability, and prosecution of illegal fishing activities are essential to conserving marine biodiversity in Latin America, especially in oceanic areas with greater vulnerability to these transgressions (Araújo et al., 2004; Bonaccorso et al., 2021). Changes in diversity and abundance of chondrichthyans can have significant implications on the balance of ecosystems and human populations relying on a healthy environment (Stevens et al., 2000; Field et al., 2009; Queiroz et al., 2019). Under this context, management authorities must seriously consider the illegal fishing of chondrichthyans and its contribution to their decline in aquatic ecosystems (Pacoureau et al., 2021).

3.11. Taxonomy and ichthyological collections. How accurate and reliable is the taxonomic information on fisheries landings for each country, especially for taxa with identification issues?

Taxonomy is the first step to any research, management, and/or conservation initiatives (Weigmann, 2016; Ehemann et al., 2019). However, the continuous discovery of new species, changes in taxonomy, nomenclature, systematic, and phylogeny, prompted by the application of genetic techniques, mean that an updated list of species and their distribution is sorely needed in each country (Ehemann et al., 2018, 2019, 2021; Espinoza et al., 2018). Like other regions, Latin American countries have historically shown deficiencies regarding accurate identification and taxonomic certainty of their chondrichthyans and their distribution (Kyne et al., 2012; Last et al., 2016). This greatly affects proper conservation and management and must be solved promptly. A first step could involve the revision and update of stored and classified chondrichthyan specimens, including holotypes and other type specimens (paratypes, syntypes, etc.). Another critical step would be generating taxonomical baselines in countries where chondrichthyans have not or have scarcely been studied (Carrillo-Briceño et al., 2018). Given the ecological and biological differences among chondrichthyans, strengthening taxonomic identification for fishing landings is essential for effective management and conservation (Ehemann et al., 2018, 2019; Espinoza et al., 2018).

3.12. Additional research priorities

In addition to the top ten conservation priorities presented here, the survey identified 10 other topics discussed during the writing of this manuscript along with their respective questions (Table S1). Additional topics included the impact of *Wildlife tourism* (identification of the species and localities involved, societal benefits for local communities, impacts of tourism on wild populations) and the relevance of estimating chondrichthyans' *Economic value* (assessment of the monetary value and ecological relevance of non-charismatic species, administration of economic benefits, contribution to local economies). Elasmobranch tourism is a profitable activity that could be considered sustainable under specific scenarios. However, there are concerns related to the impact that such activities may have on chondrichthyan populations in Latin America (and elsewhere), as well as deficiencies in resource management and meaningful involvement of local fishing communities (Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2020). The estimation of economic value has been a valuable tool for supporting conservation and sustainable use of several terrestrial and aquatic species (Turpie et al., 2003; Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2013). A better understanding of current and potential economic value from shark and ray ecotourism in Latin America could provide alternative perspectives on the ecosystem and the social, cultural, and commercial benefits of chondrichthyan species (Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2013, 2020).

The relevance of *Trophic ecology* research (identifying ecological

roles, interactions between chondrichthyans and their prey, changes in diet over space and time) was also emphasized. Quantifying trophic levels and defining functional roles in Latin America aquatic ecosystems is relevant given that many species have experienced substantial population declines (Galvan-Magaña et al., 1989; Galván-Magaña et al., 2019; Richert et al., 2015; Pacoureau et al., 2021; Dulvy et al., 2021). While stomach content analyses provide detailed descriptions of recent prey consumption, biochemical studies through stable isotope and fatty acid profiles from multiple tissues (e.g., blood, dermis, muscle, cartilage) can integrate short and long-term dietary information (Galván-Magaña et al., 2019; Estupiñán-Montaño et al., 2019, 2021b). Combining such methods can effectively quantify a species diet patterns, its trophic level, and nutritional needs, as well as movements between isotopically distinct habitats (Espinoza et al., 2015).

The importance of describing the past natural history of chondrichthyans in terms of *Biogeography and palaeontology* (description of paleo-characteristics of chondrichthyan ecosystems, composition of extinct species assemblages, influence on the historical and current distribution of chondrichthyans) was mentioned as well. Furthermore, it can provide key information related to the environment in which extinct chondrichthyans lived and perished (Guinot et al., 2013; Carrillo-Briceño et al., 2018; Villafaña et al., 2019).

Additional concerns were related to understudied aquatic environments such as *Deep-sea ecosystems* (identification of hotspots for chondrichthyan biodiversity, vulnerability assessments, ecological role assessment) and *Freshwater ecosystems* (specific protected areas, effect of commercial trade, and identification of other anthropogenic and environmental threats; Rosa et al., 2010, Last et al., 2016). Recent studies have shown that the deep ocean floor can have a high biological diversity of chondrichthyans, which play an essential role in maintaining deep-sea ecosystems (Finucci et al., 2018; Friedlander et al., 2019; Becerril-García et al., 2020). Freshwater ecosystems in Central and South American continental waters provide habitats for at least 37 endemic species of Neotropical freshwater stingrays (Potamotrygoninae; Rosa et al., 2010, Last et al., 2016, Kyne and Lucifora, 2022). These species contribute significantly to chondrichthyan biodiversity and constitute a repository of ecologically and evolutionary information. However, some species are at high risk of extinction due to unregulated ornamental trade, specimen poaching and the impacts of human activities on their habitats, such as deforestation, mining, and pollution (Charvet-Almeida, 2006; Last et al., 2016).

Of particular interest was the mention of *Climate change* (effects on physiology, ecology, and distribution, as well as the impact on economic activities) along with *Pollution and other stressors* (identification of key stressors and most concerning sources, investigation of sensitivity and resilience, description of toxicity and its mechanisms). We expect that either in ecological, economical, or societal terms, climate change will pose important challenges for the conservation of chondrichthyans, where international coordination will be essential to assess and respond to potential impacts in Latin America (Chin et al., 2010; Birkmanis et al., 2020). For instance, a changing environment can have significant effects on the distribution, feeding, and reproduction of chondrichthyans which could affect their relationship with human populations (Rosa et al., 2014; Birkmanis et al., 2020). In addition, pressure from various stressors such as organic and inorganic pollution, acidification, and habitat degradation can decrease fitness and increase stress and vulnerability to population collapse (Field et al., 2009, Rosa et al., 2014; Consales and Marsili, 2021). It is notable that climate change was not one of the top-ranked research priorities for chondrichthyan conservation in Latin America in this study. This is likely reflective of the many fundamental information and management capacity gaps in the region, as discussed above, and more specific focus on climate change effects will hopefully emerge as these pressing gaps are addressed.

The specialists also recognized the need for *International collaboration* in conservation efforts (identifying stocks to be managed in regional partnerships, designation of regional strategies and initiatives).

Furthermore, given the socioeconomic and ecological implications of chondrichthyan diversity loss, suitable regional population assessment and concerted conservation regulations should be a priority for countries sharing species distribution (Oliver et al., 2015; Weigmann, 2016; Awruch et al., 2019; Queiroz et al., 2019).

Finally, specialists identified that the appropriate use and study of *Chondrichthyan*s in captivity (new research opportunities, application of results to wild populations, social perception, and creation of species awareness) could benefit our general understanding of these species. For instance, the maintenance of chondrichthyan in captivity has favoured scientific research, environmental education, and the economy of some localities worldwide (Grassmann et al., 2017). Furthermore, studies in captivity have allowed fundamental advances in the biology of chondrichthyan (Rasmussen and Murru, 1992; Chapman et al., 2007), in addition to changing the public's perception of these "misunderstood" species (Grassmann et al., 2017).

4. Relevance of interdisciplinary research

We believe the research priorities established above revolve around interdisciplinary research. Maintaining interdisciplinary connections is key to preserving the dynamic balance between management and science-based conservation, including further integration of the social and life sciences. The synergistic union and constant feedback between responsible stakeholders from each sector may favour environmental sustainability as well as human well-being. However, factors such as pollution and climate change can hinder management actions and therefore, species conservation (Fig. 3).

5. Geographical bias and ethics considerations

Due to the scarcity of chondrichthyan studies in some parts of Latin America, we recognize the geographic bias inherent to the perspective offered here. In the coming years, we hope to see more specialists from the Caribbean, Antilles, European territories, and other countries throughout Central and South America, as well as greater inclusion of Indigenous people and underrepresented minorities in science. Ethical, equitable, and professional collaborations across countries could benefit

chondrichthyan research in poorly studied areas but need to avoid historical issues such as scientific neocolonialism and parachute science (Barreto et al., 2017; Awruch et al., 2019; Malpica-Cruz et al., 2021). Coordinated and inclusive chondrichthyan research will strengthen shared goals, universal knowledge, and management regulations.

6. Conclusions

This initiative identified ten research top priorities for the conservation of chondrichthyan in Latin America. We understand that chondrichthyan conservation needs in Latin America are vast, diverse, dynamic, and complex. Therefore, we do not claim that these ten research top priorities are absolute or definitive, but rather provide a starting point for discussion and encourage feedback and communication between all researchers and sectors committed to strengthening the conservation of these species. This academic exercise constitutes a first approach to providing urgently needed information to managers, conservationists, legislators, and other researchers. Further studies, discussions, initiatives, scientific reviews, and research are required and highly encouraged, as we all (consumers, fishers, scientists, and managers) depend on and should be committed to ecosystem health, biodiversity, and equitable management of resources.

Latin America is a region with shared historical roots among its inhabitants, where culture, economy, and natural resources maintain a close relationship. As such, the success of chondrichthyan research and conservation programs will largely depend on the degree of international and interdisciplinary collaboration between Latin American countries and the active participation of the fishing industry, government authorities, scientists, tour operators, and non-governmental organizations (Barreto et al., 2017; Queiroz et al., 2019; Mason et al., 2020). New funding opportunities, international collaboration in both research and regulations, as well as the implementation of multi-disciplinary investigations will foster significant advances in the coming decades. In this context, the research questions highlighted here constitute the first concerted effort to improve the conservation of chondrichthyan in the region, by promoting lines of research identified as critical by experts and the creation of an urgently needed Latin America research network structure.




Fig. 3. Theoretical diagram on the relevance of interdisciplinary research in the conservation and management of chondrichthyan. The fusion of the life sciences (blue rectangle) with the social sciences (yellow rectangle) results in a cycle of appropriate actions for conservation and management, environmental sustainability, and human well-being (green rectangle). The keystone that supports the diagram is interdisciplinary research (green diamond), which provides stability between management and conservation, as well as environmental sustainability and human well-being. Both Life and Social sciences play critical roles in this fusion, imparting the system with knowledge and contributing to its homeostasis. Arrows within the knowledge square represents the feedback needed for such homeostasis. The balance of the system could be affected by independent factors such as pollution and other stressors, as well as climate change (black rectangles). Examples of responsible stakeholders can be provided in each area, including specialists, authorities, managers, providers, and consumers. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109535>.

Declaration of competing interest

Authors state that they do not have any competing interests to disclose.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the effort and time spent by technicians, fishers, managers, authorities, other colleagues, and those who have contributed to the study, management, and conservation of chondrichthyans in Latin America. Our perspective has been built on their contributions, experience, and hard work. EEBG and NRE thanks CON-ACyT and BEIFI-IPN for the scholarships provided. FGM and MAM thanks to the Instituto Politécnico Nacional for fellowships (COFAA, EDI).

References

- Adiga, M.S., Ananthan, P.S., Ramasubramanian, V., Kumari, H.V.D., 2015. Validating RAPFISH sustainability indicators: focus on multi-disciplinary aspects of indian marine fisheries. *Mar. Policy* 60, 202–207.
- Aldana-Moreno, A., Hoyos-Padilla, E.M., González-Armas, R., Galván-Magaña, F., Hearn, A., Klimley, A.P., Winram, W., Becerril-García, E.E., Ketchum, J.T., 2020. Residency and diel movement patterns of the endangered scalloped hammerhead *Sphyrna lewini* in the Revillagigedo National Park. *J. Fish Biol.* 96 (2), 543–548.
- Araújo, M.L.G., Charvet-Almeida, P., Almeida, M.P., Pereira, H., 2004. Freshwater stingrays (Potamotrygonidae): status, conservation and management challenges. *Information Doc. AC 20* (8), 1–6.
- Awruch, C.A., Somoza, G.M., Baldock, C., 2019. Chondrichthyan research in South America: endocrinology overview and research trends over 50 years (1967–2016) compared to the rest of the world. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 273, 118–133.
- Barreto, R.R., Boratowski, H., Motta, F.S., Santander-Neto, J., et al., 2017. Rethinking use and trade of pelagic sharks from Brazil. *Mar. Policy* 85, 114–122.
- Becerril-García, E.E., Hoyos-Padilla, E.M., Henning, B., Salinas-De León, P., 2020. Sharks, rays, and chimaeras of the Revillagigedo National Park: an update of new and confirmed records. *J. Fish Biol.* 97 (4), 1228–1232.
- Bessudo, S., Soler, G.A., Klimley, A.P., Ketchum, J., Arauz, R., Hearn, A., Guzmán, A., Galmettes, B., 2012. Vertical and horizontal movements of the scalloped hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini*) around malpelo and Cocos Islands (Tropical eastern Pacific) using satellite telemetry. *Bol. Invest. Mar. Costas* 40, 91–106.
- Bessudo, S., Ladino, F., Becerril-García, E.E., Shepard, C.M., Salinas-De León, P., Hoyos-Padilla, E.M., 2021. The elasmobranchs of Malpelo Flora and Fauna Sanctuary, Colombia. *J. Fish Biol.* 9 (5), 1769–1774.
- Birkmanis, C.A., Freer, J.J., Simmons, L.W., Partridge, J.C., Sequeira, A.M., 2020. Future distribution of suitable habitat for pelagic sharks in Australia under climate change models. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7, 570.
- Bonaccorso, E., Ordóñez-Garza, N., Pazmiño, D.A., Hearn, A., Páez-Rosas, D., Cruz, S., Guayasamin, J.M., 2021. International fisheries threaten globally endangered sharks in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean: the case of the Fu Yuan Yu Leng 999 reefer vessel seized within the Galápagos Marine Reserve. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 1–11.
- Bonfil, R., 1997. Status of shark resources in the southern Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean: implications for management. *Fish. Res.* 29, 101–117.
- Bonfil, R., 1999. Marine protected areas as a shark fisheries management tool. In: Sire, J.-Y., Seret, B. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 5th Indo-Pacific Fish Conference*. Noumea, 1997. Soc. Fr. Ichtyol., Paris, pp. 217–230, 888 pp.
- Carrillo-Briceno, J.D., Carrillo, J.D., Aguilera, O.A., Sanchez-Villagra, M.R., 2018. Shark and ray diversity in the Tropical America (Neotropics)—an examination of environmental and historical factors affecting diversity. *PeerJ* 6, e5313.
- Bonfil, R., Ricaño-Soriano, M., Mendoza-Vargas, O.U., Méndez-Loeza, I., et al., 2018. Tapping into local ecological knowledge to assess the former importance and current status of sawfishes in Mexico. *Endanger. Species Res.* 36, 213–228.
- Chin, A., Kyne, P.M., Walker, T.I., McAuley, R.B., 2010. An integrated risk assessment for climate change: analysing the vulnerability of sharks and rays on Australia's great barrier reef. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16 (7), 1936–1953.
- Cisneros-Montemayor, A.M., Barnes-Mauthe, M., Al-Abdulrazzak, D., Navarro-Holm, E., Sumaila, U.R., 2013. Global economic value of shark ecotourism: implications for conservation. *Oryx* 47 (3), 381–388.
- Cisneros-Montemayor, A.M., Cashion, T., Miller, D.D., Tai, T.C., Talloni-Álvarez, N., Weiskel, H.W., Sumaila, U.R., 2018. Achieving sustainable and equitable fisheries requires nuanced policies not silver bullets. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2 (9), 1334.
- Cisneros-Montemayor, A.M., Becerril-García, E.E., Berdeja-Zavala, O., Ayala-Bocos, A., 2020. Shark ecotourism in Mexico: Scientific research, conservation, and contribution to a Blue Economy. In: Lowry, D., Larson, E. (Eds.), *Advances in Marine Biology*, 85. Academic Press, London, pp. 71–92.
- Cisneros-Montemayor, A.M., Moreno-Báez, M., Reygondeau, G., Cheung, W.W., Crosman, K.M., González-Espinosa, P.C., Ota, Y., 2021. Enabling conditions for an equitable and sustainable blue economy. *Nature* 591 (7850), 396–401.
- Chapman, D.D., Shivji, M.S., Louis, E., Sommer, J., et al., 2007. Virgin birth in a hammerhead shark. *Biol. Lett.* 3 (4), 425–427.
- Charvet-Almeida, P., 2006. Natural History and Conservation of Freshwater Stingrays (Chondrichthyes: Potamotrygonidae) in Xingu River, Influence Area of the Hydroelectric Project of Belo Monte (Pará, Brasil). PhD Thesis. Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa, 475 pp. (In Portuguese).
- Clarke, T.M., Espinoza, M., Romero-Chavez, R., Wehrtmann, I.S., 2017. Assessing the vulnerability of demersal elasmobranchs to a data-poor shrimp trawl fishery in Costa Rica, eastern tropical Pacific. *Biol. Conserv.* 217, 321–328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.11.015>.
- Consales, G., Marsili, L., 2021. Assessment of the conservation status of chondrichthyans: underestimation of the pollution threat. *Eur. Zool. J.* 88 (1), 165–180.
- Cooke, R.S., Gilbert, T.C., Riordan, P., Mallon, D., 2018. Improving generation length estimates for the IUCN Red List. *PLoS One* 13 (1), e0191770.
- Dent, F., Clarke, S., 2015. State of the Global Market for Shark Products. *FAO, Rome*.
- Dulvy, N.K., Fowler, S.L., Musick, J.A., Cavanagh, R.D., et al., 2014. Extinction risk and conservation of the world's sharks and rays. *elife* 3, e00590.
- Dulvy, N.K., Pacoureau, N., Rigby, C.L., Pollom, R.A., Jabado, R.W., Ebert, D.A., Finucci, B., Pollock, C.M., Cheok, J., Derrick, D.H., Herman, K.B., Sherman, C.S., VanderWright, W.J., Lawson, J.M., Walls, R.H.L., Carlson, J.K., Charvet, P., Bineesh, K.K., Fernando, D., Ralph, G.M., Matsushiba, J.H., Hilton-Taylor, C., Fordham, S.V., Simpfendorfer, C.A., 2021. Overfishing drives over one-third of all sharks and rays toward a global extinction crisis. *Curr. Biol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.08.062>.
- Ehemann, N.R., González-González, L.V., Chollet-Villalpando, J.G., De La Cruz-Agüero, J., 2018. Updated checklist of the extant Chondrichthyes within the Exclusive Economic Zone of Mexico. *ZooKeys* 774, 17.
- Ehemann, N.R., González-González, L.D., Tagliafico, A., Weigmann, S., 2019. Updated taxonomic list and conservation status of chondrichthyans from the exclusive economic zone of Venezuela, with first generic and specific records. *J. Fish Biol.* 95 (3), 753–771.
- Ehemann, N.R., García-Rodríguez, F.J., Pequeño, G., Thiel, R., De La Cruz-Agüero, J., 2021. Historical records of the blotched stingray *Urotrygon chilensis* (Urotrygonidae: Myliobatiformes) yield insight into species distribution: the importance of natural history collections to questions of zoogeography. *Syst. Biodivers.* 19 (3), 252–260.
- Espinoza, M., Munroe, S.E., Clarke, T.M., Fisk, A.T., Wehrtmann, I.S., 2015. Feeding ecology of common demersal elasmobranch species in the Pacific coast of Costa Rica inferred from stable isotope and stomach content analyses. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 470, 12–25.
- Espinoza, M., Díaz, E., Angulo, A., Hernández, S., Clarke, T.M., 2018. Chondrichthyan diversity, conservation status, and management challenges in Costa Rica. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 5, 85.
- Estupiñán-Montaño, C., Galván-Magaña, F., Sánchez-González, A., Elorriaga-Verplancken, F.R., Delgado-Huerta, A., Páez-Rosas, D., 2019. Dietary ontogeny of the blue shark, *Prionace glauca*, based on the analysis of $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ in vertebrae. *Mar. Biol.* 166, 101.
- Estupiñán-Montaño, C., Tamburín, E., Delgado-Huertas, A., 2021a. Stable isotope evidence for movements of hammerhead shark *Sphyrna lewini*, connecting two natural protected areas in the Colombian Pacific. *Mar. Biodivers.* 51, 73.
- Estupiñán-Montaño, C., Galván-Magaña, F., Elorriaga-Verplancken, F., Zetín-Rejón, M.J., Sánchez-González, A., Polo-Silva, C.J., Villalobos-Ramírez, D.J., Rojas-Cundumí, J., Delgado-Huertas, A., 2021b. Ontogenetic feeding ecology of the scalloped hammerhead shark *Sphyrna lewini* in the Colombian eastern tropical Pacific. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 663, 127–143.
- Field, I.C., Meekan, M.G., Buckworth, R.C., Bradshaw, C.J., 2009. Susceptibility of sharks, rays and chimaeras to global extinction. *Adv. Mar. Biol.* 56, 275–363.
- Finucci, B., Dunn, M.R., Jones, E.G., 2018. Aggregations and associations in deep-sea chondrichthyans. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 75 (5), 1613–1626.
- Friedlander, A.M., Zgliczynski, B.J., Ballesteros, E., Aburto-Oropeza, O., Bolaños, A., Sala, E., 2012. The shallow-water fish assemblage of Isla del coco National Park, Costa Rica: structure and patterns in an isolated, predator-dominated ecosystem. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* 60, 321–338.
- Friedlander, A.M., Giddens, J., Ballesteros, E., Blum, S., et al., 2019. Marine biodiversity from zero to a thousand meters at Clipperton Atoll (Île de La Passion), Tropical Eastern Pacific. *PeerJ* 7, e7279.
- Friedrich, L.A., Jefferson, R., Glegg, G., 2014. Public perceptions of sharks: gathering support for shark conservation. *Mar. Policy* 47, 1–7.
- Galvan-Magaña, F., Nienhuis, H., Klimley, A.P., 1989. Seasonal abundance and feeding habits of sharks of the Lower Gulf of California. *Bull. Calif. Fish Game* 75, 74–84.
- Galván-Magaña, F., Castillo-Geniz, J.L., Hoyos-Padilla, M., Ketchum, J.T., et al., 2019. Shark ecology, the role of the apex predator and current conservation status. In: *Advances in Marine Biology*, Vol. 83. Academic Press, pp. 61–114.
- Gallagher, A.J., Kyne, P.M., Hammerschlag, N., 2012. Ecological risk assessment and its application to elasmobranch conservation and management. *J. Fish Biol.* 80 (5), 1727–1748.
- González-González, L.V., Mejía-Falla, P.A., Navia, A.F., De la Cruz-Agüero, G., Ehemann, N.R., Peterson, M.S., Cruz-Escalona, V.H., 2021. The Espiritu Santo Island as a critical area for conserving batoid assemblage species within the Gulf of California. *Environ. Biol. Fish* 104 (11), 1359–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-021-01165-w>.
- Grassmann, M., McNeil, B., Wharton, J., 2017. Sharks in captivity: the role of husbandry, breeding, education, and citizen science in shark conservation. In: *Advances in Marine Biology*, Vol. 78. Academic Press, pp. 89–119.
- Guinot, G., Adnet, S., Cavin, L., Cappetta, H., 2013. Cretaceous stem chondrichthyans survived the end-permian mass extinction. *Nat. Commun.* 4 (1), 1–8.

- Gutierrez, N.L., Hilborn, R., Defeo, O., 2011. Leadership, social capital and incentives promote successful fisheries. *Nature* 470, 386–389.
- Hays, G.C., Ferreira, L.C., Sequeira, A.M., Meekan, M.G., Duarte, C.M., Bailey, H., Thums, M., 2016. Key questions in marine megafauna movement ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 31 (6), 463–475.
- Hearn, A., Ketchum, J., Klimley, A.P., 2010. Hotspots within hotspots? Hammerhead shark movements at Wolf Island in Galapagos marine reserve. *Mar. Biol.* 157, 1899–1915.
- Hearn, A.R., Green, J.R., Roman, R., Acuña, D., Espinoza, E., Klimley, A.P., 2016. Adult female whale sharks make long distance movements past Darwin Island (Galapagos, Ecuador) in the eastern tropical Pacific. *Mar. Biol.* 163, 14–26.
- Hoyos-Padilla, E.M., Ketchum, J.T., Klimley, A.P., Galván-Magaña, F., 2014. Ontogenetic migration of a female scalloped hammerhead shark in the Gulf of California. *Anim. Biotelem.* 2 (17), 1–9.
- Hoyos-Padilla, E.M., Galván-Magaña, F., Klimley, A.P., 2016. Movement patterns of juvenile and adult white sharks (*Carcharodon carcharias*) at Guadalupe Island, Mexico. *Anim. Biotelem.* 4, 1–14.
- Hussey, N.E., Kessel, S.T., Aarestrup, K., Cooke, S.J., et al., 2015. Aquatic animal telemetry: a panoramic window into the underwater world. *Science* 348 (6240).
- Jorgensen, S.J., Klimley, A.P., Muhlia-Melo, A., 2009. Diving of scalloped hammerhead in anoxic zone in Gulf of California. *J. Fish Biol.* 74, 1682–1687.
- Jorgensen, S.J., Klimley, A.P., Muhlia-Melo, A., Morgan, S.G., 2016. Seasonal changes in fish assemblage structure at a shallow seamount in the Gulf of California. *PeerJ* 4, e2357.
- Ketchum, J.T., Galván-Magaña, F., Klimley, A.P., 2013. Distribution, segregation, environmental preferences, and habitat use of whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*) in the southwestern Gulf of California. *Environ. Biol. Fish* 96, 779–795.
- Ketchum, J.T., Hearn, A., Klimley, A.P., Peñaherrera, C., Espinoza, E., Bessudo, S., Soler, G., Arauz, R., 2014. Seasonal changes in movements and habitat preferences of the scalloped hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini*) while refuging near an oceanic island. *Mar. Biol.* 161 (4), 755–767.
- Klimley, A.P., 1987. The determinants of sexual segregation in the scalloped hammerhead, *Sphyrna lewini*. *Environ. Biol. Fish* 18, 27–40.
- Klimley, A.P., 1993. Highly directional swimming by scalloped hammerhead sharks, *Sphyrna lewini*, and subsurface irradiance, temperature, bathymetry, and geomagnetic field. *Mar. Biol.* 117, 1–22.
- Klimley, A.P., Nelson, D.R., 1984. Diel movement patterns of the scalloped hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini*) in relation to El bajo Espíritu Santo: a refuging central-position social system. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 15, 45–54.
- Klimley, A.P., Butler, S.B., 1988. Immigration and emigration of a pelagic fish assemblage to seamounts in the Gulf of California related to water mass movements using satellite imagery. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 49, 11–20.
- Klimley, A.P., Arauz, R., Bessudo, S., Chávez, E., Chinacalle, N., Espinoza, E., Green, J., Hearn, A.R., Hoyos-Padilla, E.M., Nalesso, E., Ketchum, J.T., Peñaherrera-Palma, C., 2022. Studies of the movement ecology of sharks justify the existence and expansion of marine protected areas in the Eastern Pacific Ocean. *Special Issue, movement ecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-021-01204-6>.
- Knip, D.M., Heupel, M.R., Simpfendorfer, C.A., 2012. Evaluating marine protected areas for the conservation of tropical coastal sharks. *Biol. Conserv.* 148 (1), 200–209.
- Kyne, P.M., Carlson, J.K., Ebert, D.A., Fordham, S.V., Bizzarro, J.J., et al., 2012. The Conservation Status of North American, Central American, and Caribbean Chondrichthyans. IUCN Species Survival Commission Shark Specialist Group, Vancouver, Canada.
- Kyne, P.M., Lucifora, L.O., 2022. Freshwater and euryhaline elasmobranchs. In: Carrier, J.C., Simpfendorfer, C.M., Heithaus, M.R., Yopak, K.E. (Eds.), *Biology of Sharks and Their Relatives*, 3rd edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton. In press.
- Larson, S., Lowry, D., Dulvy, N.K., Wharton, J., Galván-Magaña, F., Sianipar, A.B., Lowe, C.G., Meyer, E., 2021. Current and future considerations for shark conservation in the Northeast and Eastern Central Pacific Ocean. In: Sheppard, C. (Ed.), *Advances in Marine Biology*, 90. Academic Press, London, pp. 1–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.amb.2021.08.003>.
- Last, P., Naylor, G., Séret, B., White, W., de Carvalho, M., Stehmann, M. (Eds.), 2016. *Rays of the World*. CSIRO Publishing.
- López-Angarita, J., Cubillos-M, J.C., Villate-Moreno, M., Del Cid, A., et al., 2021. Bright spots for research and conservation of the largetooth sawfish *Pristis pristis* in Colombia and Panamá. *Endanger. Species Res.* 46, 147–160. <https://doi.org/10.3354/esr01150>.
- Malpica-Cruz, L., Abadía-Cardoso, A., Aquino-Baleyto, M., Beas-Luna, R., Becerril-García, E.E., Castillo-Géniz, J.L., Zepeda-Domínguez, J.A., 2021. Empowering fishers for Great White Shark stewardship: reply to Madigan et al. *Conserv. Lett.* 14 (4), 1–3 e12828.
- Mason, J.G., Alfaro-Shigueto, J., Mangel, J.C., Crowder, L.B., Ardoín, N.M., 2020. Fishers' solutions for hammerhead shark conservation in Peru. *Biol. Conserv.* 243, 108460.
- Nalesso, E., Hearn, A., Sosa-Nishizaki, O., Steiner, T., Antoniou, A., Reid, A., Bessudo, S., Soler, G., Klimley, A.P., Lara, F., Ketchum, J., Arauz, R., 2019. Movements of scalloped hammerhead sharks *Sphyrna lewini* at Cocos Island, Costa Rica, and between oceanic islands in the eastern tropical Pacific. *PLoS ONE* 14 (3), e0213741.
- Oliver, S., Braccini, M., Newman, S.J., Harvey, E.S., 2015. Global patterns in the bycatch of sharks and rays. *Mar. Policy* 54, 86–97.
- Ostrom, E., 2009. A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. *Science* 325, 419–422. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172133>.
- Pacoureau, N., Rigby, C.L., Kyne, P.M., Sherley, R.B., et al., 2021. Half a century of global decline in oceanic sharks and rays. *Nature* 589 (7843), 567–571.
- Parsons, E.C.M., Baulch, S., Bechshoft, T., Bellazzi, G., et al., 2015. Key research questions of global importance for cetacean conservation. *Endanger. Species Res.* 27 (2), 113–118.
- Pavitt, A., et al., 2021. *CITES and the sea: Trade in commercially exploited CITES-listed marine species*, 666. FAO.
- Queiroz, N., Humphries, N.E., Couto, A., Vedor, M., et al., 2019. Global spatial risk assessment of sharks under the footprint of fisheries. *Nature* 572 (7770), 461–466.
- Rangel, B.S., Barreto, R., Gil, N., Del-Mar, A., Castro, C., 2021. Brazil can protect sharks worldwide. *Science* 373 (6555), 633.
- Ramírez-Amaro, S.R., Cartamil, D., Galván-Magaña, F., González-Barba, G., et al., 2013. The artisanal elasmobranch fishery of the Pacific coast of Baja California Sur, Mexico, management implications. *Sci. Mar.* 77 (3), 473–487.
- Rasmussen, L.E.L., Murru, F.L., 1992. Long-term studies of serum concentrations of reproductively related steroid hormones in individual captive carcharhinids. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 43 (1), 273–281.
- Richert, J.E., Galván-Magaña, F., Klimley, A.P., 2015. Interpreting nitrogen stable isotopes in the study of migratory fishes in marine ecosystems. *Mar. Biol.* 162, 1099–1110.
- Roberson, L.A., Watson, R.A., Klein, C.J., 2020. Over 90 endangered fish and invertebrates are caught in industrial fisheries. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 1–8.
- Rosa, R.S., Charvet-Almeida, P., Quijada, C.C.D., 2010. Biology of the south american potamotrygonid stingrays. In: Carrier, J.C., Musick, J.A., Heithaus, M.R. (Eds.), *Sharks and Their Relatives II: Biodiversity, Adaptive Physiology, and Conservation*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, pp. 241–285.
- Rosa, R.S., Gadig, O.B.F., 2014. Conhecimento da diversidade dos chondrichthyes marinhos no brasil: a contribuição de José Lima de figueiredo. *Arq. Zool.* 45, 89–104.
- Rosa, R., Baptista, M., Lopes, V.M., Pegado, M.R., et al., 2014. Early-life exposure to climate change impairs tropical shark survival. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 281 (1793), 20141738.
- Sala, E., Giakoumi, S., 2018. No-take marine reserves are the most effective protected areas in the ocean. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 75 (3), 1166–1168.
- Salas, S., Chuenpagdee, R., Seijo, J.C., Charles, A., 2007. Challenges in the assessment and management of small-scale fisheries in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Fish. Res.* 87 (1), 5–16.
- Salinas-de-León, P., Acuña-Marrero, D., Rastoin, E., Friedlander, A.M., Donovan, M.K., Sala, E., 2016. Largest global shark biomass found in the northern Galápagos Islands of Darwin and wolf. *PeerJ* 4, e1911.
- Steinkamp, D., Bernard, A.M., Horn, R.L., Hilton, P., et al., 2017. DNA analysis of traded shark fins and mobulid gill plates reveals a high proportion of species of conservation concern. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 1–6.
- Stevens, J.D., Bonfil, R., Dulvy, N.K., Walker, P.A., 2000. The effects of fishing on sharks, rays, and chimaeras (chondrichthyans), and the implications for marine ecosystems. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 57 (3), 476–494.
- Tambutti, M., Gómez, J.J., 2020. The Outlook for Oceans, Seas and Marine Resources in Latin America and the Caribbean: Conservation, Sustainable Development and Climate Change Mitigation.
- Tiktak, G.P., Butcher, D., Lawrence, P.J., Norrey, J., et al., 2020. Are concentrations of pollutants in sharks, rays and skates (Elasmobranchii) a cause for concern? A systematic review. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 160, 111701.
- Turpie, J.K., Heydenrych, B.J., Lamberth, S.J., 2003. Economic value of terrestrial and marine biodiversity in the cape floristic region: implications for defining effective and socially optimal conservation strategies. *Biol. Conserv.* 112 (1–2), 233–251.
- Valerio-Vargas, J., Espinoza, M., 2019. A beacon of hope: distribution and current status of the largetooth sawfish in Costa Rica. *Endanger. Species Res.* 40, 231–242. <https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00992>.
- Vannuccini, S., 1999. Shark utilization, marketing and trade. FAO, Rome.
- Villafañá, J.A., Nielsen, S.N., Klug, S., Kriwet, J., 2019. Early miocene cartilaginous fishes (Chondrichthyes: holocephali, Elasmobranchii) from Chile: diversity and paleobiogeographic implications. *J. S. Am. Earth Sci.* 96, 102317.
- Vooren, C.M., Klippel, S., 2005. Ações para a conservação de tubarões e raias no Sul do Brasil. Igaré, Porto Alegre.
- Vooren, C.M., Oddone, M.C., 2019. La diversidad de los condricios del extremo sur de Brasil: las especies, sus orígenes, y sus modos reproductivos. In: Muniz, P., Brugnoli, E., Venturini, N., Conde, D. (Eds.), *Ciencias marino-costeras en el umbral del Siglo XXI Desafíos en Latinoamérica y el Caribe*. A. G. T. Editor, Ciudad de México, pp. 171–214.
- Weigmann, S., 2016. Annotated checklist of the living sharks, batoids and chimaeras (Chondrichthyes) of the world, with a focus on biogeographical diversity. *J. Fish Biol.* 88 (3), 837–1037.